

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/776,230	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TAKAGI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Raymond Covington	<b>Art Unit</b> 1625	

**All Participants:**

(1) Raymond Covington.

(2) Stefan Koschmieder( app rep).

**Date of Interview:** 13 March 2009

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*new matter*

Claims discussed:

*31*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicants agreed to positively recite claim 31 as the contacting hexafluoropropylene is inert. Claims 1-31 are allowed.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Raymond Covington/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)